

TED KACZYNSKI
to
BEAU FRIEDLANDER

February 5, 1999

Item #35

Certified # Z 403 256 526

Dear Beau,

In the third postscript to my letter of January 29, I referred to your letter of January 26. I meant January 25.

To reply now to your letter of January 25 — Yes, I will be very cautious with Sallinger. Don't give him any information except what he really needs in order to investigate Chris Waits.

You're right that I should correspond with Ulvenan. The trouble is that I already have more correspondents than I can handle. If you're in touch with Ulvenan, please tell him that I appreciate the investigations he is undertaking, that I would be interested to know what he has found out, and that I suggest he should obtain a copy of the defense's Motion to Suppress Evidence, the prosecution's brief in opposition to that motion, and the defense's reply to the prosecution's brief. These are public documents and can be obtained from the court. Also please explain to Ulvenan that only lack of time prevents me from corresponding with him. Tell him that if he would like to send me a few questions that can be answered briefly, I will try to find time to answer them for him. Also tell him that I'll write to Gina Denver and Judy Clarke and ask them to take a cooperative attitude if Ulvenan should contact them with questions about the Motion to Suppress Evidence (Though I can't guarantee that they will take a cooperative attitude. They both have a very low opinion of journalists.)

|||||||

I haven't seen the five chapters that Derrick Jensen sent you, and I have no idea what they are about. I haven't seen much of Jensen's writing beyond Listening To the Land, so if it turns out that I've put you in touch with a writer with whom you'll be very ~~be~~ pleased, it's just blind luck.

There is no way I can provide you with a good copy of the manuscript of Industrial Society and Its Future that was received by the New York Times. The best I can hope to do is provide you with a copy that is legible — just barely legible. If you want a good copy, then you (or your assistant) will have to transcribe painstakingly the barely-legible copy that I can give you.

Of many documents the FBI gave us very poor photocopies. One of the most common problems is that parts of their copies are too dark and other parts are too light, so that when they are recopied, either some parts of the text come out too dark to be read, or else other parts come out too light to be read.

Apparently the copy that the Fed Defenders Office sent you was made carelessly. This does not surprise me. The Fed Defenders Office is not a model of efficiency.

I had a copy that was (barely) legible. I lent it to someone who wrote back to me that the envelope in which the manuscript arrived appeared to have been tampered with, i.e., opened and resealed, and that several pages of the manuscript were missing.

That is why, when I got the manuscript back, I did not send it to you, but instead asked Dennis to send you another copy. Since that copy turned out to be illegible, I am

sending you my copy (enclosed), which lacks pages 11, 52, 53, 54, 55. I've written to Denvir and asked him to send you legible copies of these pages.

The parts of my copy that are barely legible, or perhaps illegible, are so because they are too light. You mentioned in your letter of ~~1~~ 1/25/99 that parts of the copy you received are too dark to read. So, by combining your copy with the one I'm sending you, you should be able to get the complete text of the document (except pages 11, 52, 53, 54, 55, which, as I've indicated, you should soon receive from Denvir).

With the (incomplete) manuscript of Industrial Society and Its Future I'm enclosing a copy (also provided by the FBI) of the covering letter that was sent with it to the New York Times. You probably won't want to use the whole letter; the parts you're most likely to want to use I've marked with blue ballpoint in the margin.

In addition, I'm enclosing a copy of a letter that was received by Scientific American Magazine. You may want to use it, too.

When you are finished with the enclosed documents, please return them to me. I'm in no very great hurry to get them back, but it would be nice if you could return them to me within a couple of months or so.

.....

I'm also enclosing a copy of a letter that I received from CNN; this you can keep.

.....

I think you once offered to get me any books or the like that I might want. Well, I'd like to have a Gutenberg Bible. I have a regular

bible, you understand; what I want is a Gutenberg Bible. However, just in case your local bookstore doesn't happen to have any Gutenberg Bibles in stock at the moment, here's something you can do for me as an alternative: If Benvenuto Cellini's autobiography is readily available (in English), you might pick up a copy of it and send it to Lydia Eccles, 1

02112-

I suppose you know who Lydia Eccles is; she's quoted in Mello's book. She's an artist, and she was going to design a greeting card for me based on a drawing of Benvenuto Cellini's famous statue, "Perseus holding the head of Medusa." The idea was derived from a pun — Florence, Italy vs. Florence, Colorado. Anyway, I asked Lydia whether she had ever read Cellini's autobiography, and she said that she had not. Given her interest in art, she ought to find ^{the autobiography} ~~it~~ interesting. Not that you have to be interested in art to find Cellini's autobiography interesting.

.....

You offered to help me with this matter of Waits's book. Here's one way you can help me, if it's feasible for you: Find out what newspapers in Montana (besides the Missoulian and the Helena Independent Record) published articles about Waits's book; and get me the addresses of any such newspapers. If this would cost you too much trouble, you needn't bother.

.....

One more thing, if I'm not overburdening you with requests — Could you get me a copy of the article by Michael Higgins, ~~At A Difficult Client~~ A Difficult Client, ABA Journal, March, 1998, which is cited in Mello's book, page 17 and endnote 77? Thanks.

Best regards,

Ted

Postscript To letter of 2/5/99 (#35).

To a highly intelligent correspondent of mine at Lincoln I quoted part of the material ~~on~~ about Chris Waits on pp. 18-19 of Truth versus Lies. She was confused by the sentence,

"Except for my brother and my mother, the one informant whom I definitely know to be consciously lying is Chris Waits of Lincoln, Montana." (Truth vs. Lies, p. 18, lines 13-14)

My ~~informa~~ correspondent wasn't sure whether this meant that my brother and mother were consciously lying. She didn't have the context, which would have helped her, but even so it seems well to reformulate the sentence to make it clearer. So I'd like to change this sentence to read as follows:

"Apart from my brother and my mother, the only informant whom I definitely know to be consciously lying is Chris Waits of Lincoln, Montana."

Would you agree that this makes the meaning clearer?

- TK

Another postscript to letter of 2/5/99 (#35):

To avoid a too-soon repetition of a form of the verb "express" on page 72, line 2 of Truth versus Lies, I would like to change "the affection I had expressed" to "the affection I had indicated."

Also, I would like to insert the following new paragraph between lines 3 and 4 on page 427 of Truth versus Lies:

For the benefit of people who find it incredible (as I once would have found it) that journalists employed by respectable news organizations would intentionally lie in their articles, I note that according to an Associated Press report, "The New Republic magazine and The Boston Globe acknowledged that writers made up quotes and people used in stories."

A footnote citing the Associated Press report will have to be inserted between footnotes 6 and 7 of Chapter XVI. However, I'm not sure how the report should be cited. I assume that you would know about that sort of thing. I got the report from something that someone took off the Internet and sent to me 1.11.99

is at the head of the piece of paper I received is the following:

Subj: Editors Urged to Challenge Accuracy

Date: 10/15/1998 6:42:58 PM Central Daylight Time

From: AOL News

BCC: [Name of the correspondent who sent me the item]

Editors Urged to Challenge Accuracy

c The Associated Press

By MICHAEL WHITE

ANAHEIM, Calif. (AP)-- [The Text of the article follows. The passage I quoted above appears in the fourth paragraph.]